BRISTOL CITY COUNCIL

Audit Committee

17th January 2014

Report of: Strategic Director Business Change

Report Title: Quarter 2 2013/14 Business Change Risk Register

Ward: Citywide

Officer presenting report: Mark Taylor, Interim Service Director Finance,

Business Change

Contact Telephone Number: 0117 92 22419

RECOMMENDATION

The Audit Committee

1. review and approve the Risk Register for the Business Change Directorate at Appendix 1.

SUMMARY

This report covers the second quarterly risk management review for 2013/14.

The significant issues in the report are:

- Detailed content changes and variations to the risks since the previous quarter last reported to the Audit Committee on 23rd January 2013 are set out below.
- The following risks have a current HIGH risk status: Single Change Programme (CRR24) and Business Change directorate programme of change (OD10).
- Progress has been made in more actively managing risks and mitigations including setting a target achievement status and date for achievement.

Policy

It was recently agreed by the Audit Committee for directorate risk register reports to be presented as a main agenda item.

Consultation:

Internal: Officers from within the directorate, including risk owners, mitigation

owners, the risk champion and the directorate leadership team were

consulted.

External: None necessary

1. Background

1.1 This report concerns risks owned by the Business Change directorate (formerly known as Organisational Development, and before that Corporate Services).

- 1.2 A number of risks in the risk register were owned by Strategic Property. This division has now been realigned to Regeneration/Place and the risks transferred accordingly (see 'transferred risks' below).
- 1.3 To reflect developments in the management of corporate risks, the directorate is being proactive in reviewing the risks within its control, considering what further improvements can be made to the risk rating and through what additional mitigations, and setting a target risk status for each one with a target achievement date. This has led to a number of risks being removed from the risk register in their entirety as fully mitigated.

2. Quarter 2 Directorate risk register review

- 2.1 Appendix 1 provides full details of the current directorate risks and how these risks are being managed.
- 2.2 Currently there are seven risks recorded on the risk register and these are presented in order of highest current risk. Five of these are also listed as Corporate Risks in the Corporate Risk Register. Corporate Risks are currently subject to an ongoing review which will culminate in early February at a "brainstorming" Workshop attended by 1st & 2nd tier Directors as will be further reported to this Committee at its next meeting in April.
- 2.3 Two risks are demonstrating a high risk status, as follows:
 - Single Change Programme CRR24 (formerly Bristol Change Programme) this
 risk has been significantly revised to reflect the shift to the single change
 programme. While the new programme brings stronger leadership and
 governance to all change, which will over time reduce the risk, the pace of change
 and the risk of not delivering remain high.
 - Business Change programme of change OD10 this risk concerns the
 directorate's own change programme which is critical to the directorate's ability to
 lead or support the organisation through the wider single change programme, and
 is considered to be high risk.

The remainder are either of medium or low status.

2.4 At its January 2013 meeting, the Committee requested inclusion of 'target risk' and the direction of travel since the previous quarter to be included and this analysis has progressed and is shown in Appendix 2. The addition of this information identifies that:

- Most risks are due to achieve their target status and date either within 2013/14 or early in 2014/15.
- The two risks currently assessed as high, described above, are capable of being mitigated down to either a medium or low level.
- Four of the risks currently assessed as medium are capable of being mitigated down to either a lower grade of medium or a low level.
- The target status and date have yet to be defined for one recentlyidentified risk.
- 2.5 There is now an opportunity to develop the risk management methodology and make it more effective in reducing 'Current risk' levels. This will require risk owners, with the risk champion, to continue to review and challenge the information in the register and the effectiveness of the risk management strategies in respect of each risk.
- 2.6 The main amendments to the register since January 2013 have been as follows:

New Risks

Council Tax Reduction – Increased risk of non-payment of Council Tax and other debt to the authority as well as increasing the cost of collection in both the front and back offices. (Please note: The 2013/14 Council Tax Reduction scheme is still subject to agreement at the time of writing.)

Deleted Risks

Health and Safety – *Reputational risk of incidents leading to prosecution.*No change in status (green) since April 2010 and archived as no further potential for reduction. However health and safety considerations are being kept under review and the risk can be reactivated if necessary.

Employee Relations – Disruption to services or unplanned increase in costs as a result of breakdown in employee relations.

Remaining claims settled and within budget, and the risk deleted.

Housing Benefits – Failure to provide a Housing Benefits service of an adequate standard and to maximise the subsidy receivable from the government. Failure to deliver against the recommendations of the Audit Commission inspection and internal audit report.

Retained as a directorate level risk at the request of the Audit Committee January 2013, pending the possible impact of Welfare Reform, and now subsumed within the overall Welfare Reform risk.

Failure to implement and derive benefits from purchase-to-pay system (COPS) – Putting at risk the reputation of transformational change, disrupting supplies and adversely impacting on the achievement of planned savings.

Deleted because purchase to pay is now integrated within the new ABW finance system.

Performance Management – Failure to ensure appropriate performance management arrangements to deliver stated outcomes against corporate priorities.

This was a broad risk with the fundamental mitigation being active, effective management by managers and with no real benefit from retaining it within the risk

register.

Loss of Council Funds from borrowing/lending activity – Resulting in additional cost to the authority, either short term or long term.

This risk was introduced because of issues around the collapse of the Icelandic bank. The investment has now been recovered.

Value for Money – Failure to demonstrate improvement in value for money as a result of a consistently strong focus on value for money across the Council.

Removed because value for money is an embedded strand of business activity and being supported for example through business partners, and not a stand-alone risk.

Transferred risk

Failure to achieve capital receipts target – transferred to Regeneration/Place.

Overspend due to reduced income (commercial estate) – transferred to Regeneration/Place.

3. Risk Assessment

3.1 A robust and effective risk management process serves to minimise the risk of failures in the Council's service provision. It supports the internal control environment and governance arrangements, whilst providing for Member involvement in ensuring that risks facing the Council are properly addressed and managed.

4. Equalities Impact Assessment

4.1 None necessary for this report

5. Legal and Resource Implications

- 5.1 Legal N/A
- 5.2 Resource N/A

Appendices

Appendix 1 – Quarter 2 Business Change Directorate Risk Register 2013/14

Appendix 2 – Risk Improvement: Target Projection

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACCESS TO INFORMATION

Background Papers Relevant background details held on SPAR.net

2013/14 - QUARTER 2 RISK REPORT

BUSINESS CHANGE DIRECTORATE RISK REGISTER & SUMMARY HEADER

	No.	Day	Month	Year
VERSION	6	17th	Jan	2014

	VERSION CONTROL HISTOR	Υ						
Version No.	Reviewed By:	Review Date						
1	Directorate Leadership Team	16 th April 2013						
2	2 Directorate Leadership Team 21 st August 201							
3	Councillor Gollop	30 th September 2013						
4	Councillor Gollop	19 th November 2013						
5	Directorate Leadership Team	20 th November 2013						
6	Audit Committee	17 th January 2014						

RISK ASSESSMENT		High	H/L	H/M	H/H
RISK ASSESSMENT	1		3x1= 3	3x2= 6	3x3= 9
METHODOLOGY	M	Med	M/L	M/M	M/H
	Р		2x1= 2	2x2= 4	2x3= 6
Each risk is assessed according to the potential impact and likelihood	Α	Low	L/L	L/M	L/H
(low [=1], medium [=2]or high [=3]) of the risk occurring, and scored by	С		1x1= 1	1x2= 2	1x3= 3
cross multiplying.	Т		Low	Med	High
	RISK		LIKELI	HOOD	

Report for 2013-2014
For Business Change
Not Including Child Projects records, Including Mitigation records

Key to Performance Status:

Mitigation: Well behind schedule

Behind schedule

On schedule

Completed

No Data available

Risks:

Review Overdue (0+)

High (6+)

Medium (3+)

Low (1+)

2013/14 Business Change - ALL RISKS (CRR and DRR)

Risk: Single Change Programme There is a risk that the new single change programme does not deliver either the cultural or financial change required to secure a sustainable organisation for the future. Under the governance of a single Change Board, led by the City Director, the single change programme will be the vehicle for the delivery of change across all council services for the next three years, and will deliver a substantial proportion of the savings needed over this period. The change programme will be further developed over the coming months in line with the Mayor's vision and the corporate strategy and also the MTFS. The change approach will be to focus on the early delivery of benefits, followed by redesign of services across the council.

Risk Code: CRR024

Inherent S	Status: High (9)	Inherent Risk Likelihood: High						
Date Ident	ified: 01 Apr 2009		Service: Business Change & IC	T				
Mitigation	records							
Mitigation Status	Mitigation	Info		Responsible Person	Date Identified	Last Review Date		
	Alignment of the overall programme with the MTFS and recognition of defined cash benefits	with the PMO to ensure that programme costs and benefits are managed	Service Director Finance is a member of the Change Board. A business partner has been allocated to work the PMO to ensure that programme costs and benefits are managed and tracked. A finance project support has been established as part of the new Finance structure. The new reporting arrangements will ensure fits are tracked in line with the MTFS.					
Behind schedule	Bristol Workplace	We need to integrate the design of the workspace with the redesign or changes required to deliver the new organisation. Work is underway to and what physical space will best enable those services to effectively	o look at what services are best co-located	Richard Billingham	01/11/2013	08/11/2013		
On schedule	Effective implementation of programme and project management protocols	d and are subject to full project nd risk management. The PMO provides	Paul Arrigoni	01/11/2013	08/11/2013			
On schedule	Effective programme goverance	Corporate co-ordination and ownership is being delivered through the supported by the Programme Management Office (PMO). The new sir reduced risks around governance. All project management resources the PMO.	ngle change programme has substantially	Paul Arrigoni	01/04/2009	08/11/2013		
On schedule	ICT infrastructure	There has been substantial investment in the ICT infrastructure which be delivered. Much of our ICT estate will be modernised through the luprovide a robust platform from which the single change programme cathe digital platform are now well defined and in delivery.	nformation and Technology work stream to	Paul Arrigoni	14/02/2012	08/11/2013		
Business C	Change	SPAR.net		Print I	Date: 07 Janu	ary 2014 09:53		

Mitigation records									
Mitigation Status	Mitigation	Info			Responsible Person	Date Identified	Last Review Date		
On schedule	Organisational development	Full organisational restructure is underway improvement programmes/plans to achieve	ent of workforce	Richard Billingham	01/10/2013	08/11/2013			
On schedule	Recalibration of benefits realisation to reflect the new programme	Delivery of all benefits will be tracked by th established to support a high level of trans management framework.		Paul Arrigoni	01/01/2010	08/11/2013			
On schedule	Redefine Portfolio Risk Register	The portfolio risk register will be redefined Change Board as the new single change p streamlined governance arrangements are	rogramme is firmed up. While the pace of o		Paul Arrigoni	01/04/2009	08/11/2013		
Current St	tatus: High (6)	Previous Status: High (6)	Current Risk Severity: High	Current Risk L	ikelihood: Med	ium			
Risk Chan	npion: Christine Castle		Risk Owner: Angie Ridgwell						

for the substance of two of the mitigations (portfolio risk register and benefits realisation) are being refined and the status of these mitigations will be updated at the next review point.

Risk: Business Change directorate progamme of change fails to deliver objectives. There is a risk that the directorate is not able to lead or support the organisation through the single change programme, causing the change programme to slow or stall creating ongoing financial pressures for the organisation. Specific risks are: that processes are not sufficiently lean and simple so that staff use them by choice, resulting in non-compliance and impacting on the organisation's ability to understand its performance and achievement; that ABW does not provide sufficiently robust control processes increasing the risk of fraud and financial loss, so diverting resources from where they are needed; and that the directorate does not have the requisite capability to provide the analysis, interpretation and support for the use of management information to facilitate timely decision making. Change within the directorate is linked to the wider organisational restructure commissioned by the City Director, to be in place by March 2014.

Code: DRR -OD010

Inherent S	tatus: High (6)	Inherent Risk Severity: High		Inherent Risk Likelih	ood: Mediur	m				
Date Ident	ified: 20 Feb 2012			Service: Finance						
Mitigation	records									
Mitigation Status	Mitigation	Info				Responsible Person	Date Identified	Last Review Date		
	Effective implementation of Legal review and change programme	actions handed over to the specific lead within the Case Management system and the service's ability	the project has closed after delivering the majority of changes it set out to achieve, and with follow-on post-project tions handed over to the specific lead within the service. There are a number of low-level risks relating to the Lega ase Management system and the service's ability to generate the levels of external income required, but these are ing addressed and actively monitored. COMPLETED SUBJECT TO AGREEMENT ON ONGOING BUDGET EQUIREMENT.							
	Remodelling of financial systems and processes	delivered by end of December and with full optimis of the issues, overseen by the Financial Accounting	nsight report commissioned to review and recommend improvements to financial systems and processes, to be delivered by end of December and with full optimisation by March 2014. Additional work commissioned to address som of the issues, overseen by the Financial Accounting Board and Fit for the Future Board. Realignment of the structure within finance including sharper definition of roles and responsibilities rescheduled to enable the incoming service directly influence the final decision.							
schedule	Streamlining and simplification of high volume, high impact processes	Fit for the Future board established to target high v 2014.	by March	Richard Billingham	20/02/2012	31/10/2013				
Current St	atus: High (6)	Previous Status: High (6)	Current Risk Severity: High	Curi	rent Risk Lil	kelihood: Medi	um			
Risk Cham	npion: Christine Castle		Risk Owner: Angie Ridgwell							
Review No	ote:									

Risk: Info		Risk Cod	le: CRR027							
Inherent S	tatus: High (9)	Inherent Risk Severity: High	Inherent Risk	Likelihood: High	h					
Date Ident	ified: 01 Apr 2009		Service: Busi	ness Change &	СТ					
Mitigation	records									
Mitigation Status	Mitigation	Info			Responsible Person	Date Identified	Last Review Date			
Behind schedule	Implement secure E-mail facilities which are easy to use	groups. A project to deliver this work is underway	lement a solution to permit secure delivery of electronic mail to non Goverment organisations / voluntary ups. A project to deliver this work is underway, and the service is being piloted by a small number of staff t stage will be completed by end of November 2013 and then to be rolled out across the organisation.							
Behind schedule	Information systems classified according to new scheme	To be progressed pending launch of the new gov	vernment classification scheme, expected by en	d of 2013/14.	Bernadette Keen	01/04/2009	24/10/2013			
On schedule	Revise and rollout policy and standards to reflect changes to working practices	will require significant changes to policy and star	nanges to working practices as a result of Bristol Workplace and increased commissioning across the authorit Il require significant changes to policy and standards around Security Policy. This work will be taking place ov e next 12 months and is expected to be completed by September 2014.							
Current S	atus: Medium (4)	Previous Status: Medium (4)	Current Risk Severity: Medium	Current F	Risk Likelihood:	Medium				
Risk Char	npion: Christine Castle		Risk Owner: Bernadette Keen							
Review No	ote:									

	ruitment, retention and rest e staff in place to lead the cha		o effectively manage the downsiz	ring of the organisation through a perio	d of significant change,	through not having		k de: CRR005			
Inherent S	Status: Medium (4)		Inherent Risk Severity: Medi	ım	ım Inherent Risk Likelihood: Medium						
Date Ident	tified: 01 Apr 2009				Service: Human Res	ources					
Mitigation	records										
Mitigation Status	Mitigation		Responsible Person	Date Identified	Last Review Date						
On schedule	Control of people spend		•	nber 2013 to control all forms of expend monitored by the People Panel and S	O O	Richard Billingham	30/09/2013	24/10/2013			
	Organisational redesign and restructure		nmenced with the creation of the the rest of the organisation, align	Richard Billingham	30/09/2013	24/10/2013					
Current St	tatus: Medium (4)	Previous Sta	atus: Medium (4)	Current Risk Severity: Medium	Current Risk Likelihood: Medium						
Risk Chan	npion: Christine Castle			Risk Owner: Richard Billingham							
Review No	ote:										

Risk: Financial management Disruption to service plans because of failure to plan and manage budgets, implement agreed efficiency savings, identify savings to address significant government grant reductions from 2011/12 to 2014/15, or fraud and corruption Code: CRR011 Inherent Status: High (6) Inherent Risk Severity: High Inherent Risk Likelihood: Medium Date Identified: 01 Apr 2009 Service: Finance Mitigation records Mitigation Mitigation Info Responsible Date Last Review Status Person Identified Date On Agreed Medium Term A process is in place for determining organisational priorities and agreeing delivery plans. MTFS to be finalised by 14/11/2012 30/10/2013 Mark Taylor schedule Financial Strategy (MTFS) January 2014 for Cabinet review and formal approval by Council on 18 February 2014. for the next three years Budget is now up to date with only minor slippage on 2013/14 capital programme. Capital programme is being rebuilt Governance of capital Neil Taylor 01/07/2013 30/10/2013 within the framework of the MTFS. Initial review by Cabinet on 29 October 2013, Progress is on track, and now projects and programmes schedule proceeding to submission to Cabinet in January and Council in February. Improved BRT financial Slippage in BRT could mean loss of DfT funding and the Council's needing to find additional capital resources to fund Neil Taylor 01/07/2013 30/10/2013 Behind schedule governance and reporting the project. Financial reporting to each project board is provided by the lead authority for each project. The project boards now meet on the same day in an update to governance arrangements. Financial reports are further reviewed by PAB, which includes representatives of each of the three councils involved in BRT as well as the LEP. Mark Taylor 15/10/2013 **30/10/2013** On Introduction of corporate Corporate panels are now in place to control resource allocation in relation to recruitment of staff, purchasing and schedule panels capital work, monitored by internal audit and reported to SLT The DfE has undertaken to fund the PFI affordability gap relating to academies in full. The affordability gap in 01/07/2013 30/10/2013 PFI funding gap Craig Bolt schedule connection with low occupancy is slowly reducing and the MTFS has been adjusted to recognise the liability arising from the reduction in the allocation of the Direct School Grant (DSG). 15/10/2013 **30/10/2013** On Prevention of fraud (non Pending full implementation of ABW, risk exists for loss of council assets through failure of a robust internal control Mark Taylor schedule framework. This is being addressed by moving ownership to budget holders with necessary training in ABW to ensure benefits) only valid authorised transactions are processed. Also through organisational restructure which will reduce the number of budget holders, ensuring adequate financial resource is available to support operational managers. Behind Successful implementation ABW went live on 1 April 2013 as planned. However reporting arrangements and process modifications are not Mark Taylor 01/04/2013 **30/10/2013** sufficiently embedded across the organisation. An action plan is in place to address these issues via the Finance schedule and embedding of new Accounting Board and with a revised completion date of 31st March 2014. finance system Current Status: Medium (4) Previous Status: High (6) **Current Risk Severity: Medium** Current Risk Likelihood: Medium **Risk Champion: Christine Castle** Risk Owner: Mark Taylor **Review Note:**

Risk: Welfare Reform (WR) A range of forthcoming Welfare Reform changes will impact on customers, the city and the organisation, and interact with other agendas (e.g. Personalisation). If poorly managed, there is scope for negative (and possibly multiple) impacts on customers and poor response to demand for services by the Council, as well as financial impacts and reputational risk. This is a new area that is facing all Local Authorities.

midifoldi m	ipacio ana reputational fiole. Tillo io	a new area that is lacing all Local Authorities.							
Inherent S	Status: High (9)	Inherent Risk Severity: High	Inherent Risk Likelihood: High						
Date Ident	ified: 01 Oct 2011		Service: Integrated Customer Service	•					
Mitigation	records								
Mitigation Status	Mitigation	Info		Responsible Person	Date Identified	Last Review Date			
On schedule	Ensure understanding and awareness of Welfare Reform changes and impacts/implications	briefings, DLTs, The Source. Council tax red recommendation to pass the cut onto taxpay via living wage. Housing benefit changes im	aff and stakeholders briefed on WR on 'open house' basis and also via SLT/informal cabinet, executive efings, DLTs, The Source. Council tax reduction scheme 14/15 in progress for full Council decision, with a commendation to pass the cut onto taxpayers. Fairness Commission is looking at mitigating impacts of WR eg I living wage. Housing benefit changes implemented and benefit cap in place. Work undertaken with those mos ected to assess impact and help them find work/training.						
On schedule	Working within change programme on Customer Service redesign	100 Temple Street. This will help manage improcesses will change the channel mix and e	We are working within the overall change programme to redesign customer services which includes a new CSP at 00 Temple Street. This will help manage immediate demand. However increased automation and improved processes will change the channel mix and ease of access to services and information for customers. One process being considered for early corporate adoption is debt payment which would help those struggling with lebt as a result of WR changes.						
Current St	tatus: Medium (4)	Previous Status: High (6)	Current Risk Severity: Medium Current Ris	Current Risk Likelihood: Medium					
Risk Chan	npion: Christine Castle		Risk Owner: Angie Ridgwell						

Review Note: Overall risk level has reduced with the successful implementation of the benefit cap and under occupancy. We are carefully monitoring discretionary housing payments, as their success in helping people short term may be delaying debt problems. Administration of the social fund (giving crisis payments) has moved to Housing Benefits, to be administered alongside DHPs so we can see who is applying /receiving discretionary funds informing policy and delivery. The recommendation to pass on the CTR cut to low income households is in line with other authorities but this will exacerbate debt problems for some. A hardship fund has been created. It is not clear what our role will be in the roll out of Universal Credit or when this will be, but scenario planning has started. Cumulative impact on residents and the city is difficult to quantify and assess.

Risk: Council Tax Reduction Scheme The risk is that the Council Tax Reduction scheme will result in an increase in non-payment of Council Tax and other debt to the authority as well as increasing the cost of collection in both the front and back offices, through the cumulative impact on low income families many of whom are already affected by other Welfare Reform changes. This will affect approx. 20,000 customers and 25% of the Council Tax bill.

Risk Code: DRR - OD011

		x. 20,000 customers and 25% of the Council Tax t	Jiii.							
Inherent S	Status: High (9)	Inherent Risk Severity: High		Inherent Risk Likelihood: H						
Date Ident	tified: 25 Nov 2013			Service: Integrated Customer Services						
Mitigation	records									
Mitigation Status	Mitigation	Info				Responsible Person	Date Identified	Last Review Date		
Behind schedule	Additional resource in front and back office	The changes will put pressure on the front and ba of enquiries, and an increase in processing. We a the new scheme for the year.				Julia James	25/11/2013	10/12/2013		
On schedule	Communication with customers	There is a focus on communicating the changes to on payment arrangments, as well as training for f		Julia James	25/11/2013	10/12/2013				
On schedule	Development of a single view of the customer	This will give an improved view of a household's	Vork is taking place on developing a single view of the customer as part of the Customer and Process Programme. his will give an improved view of a household's combined debt, putting us in a better position to help them manage ebt better and signpost to financial assistance (eg credit unions), as well as informing the design of the 2015/16 CTR vstem.							
On schedule	Financial provison for those unable to pay	A hardship fund of £200k has been set aside, bas pay either by relieving them of their CT bill or furt		re Cities, to support people wh	no cannot	Julia James	25/11/2013	10/12/2013		
On schedule	Greater awareness of cumulative impact on citizens	We are gathering data to inform our intelligence of accordingly.	g systems	Julia James	25/11/2013	10/12/2013				
Current S	tatus: Medium (4)	Previous Status: High (9)	Current Risk Severity: M	edium C	Current Ris	sk Likelihood: N	/ledium			
Risk Char	npion: None		Risk Owner: None							
Review No	ote:									

BUSINESS CHANGE RISK REGISTER - REVIEW AS AT Q2 2013/14

		SITUATION AS AT Q2 2013/14	1					IMPROVEMENT TARGET PROJECTION		
Inherent Risk Status	Risk Reference	Title	Current risk status & direction of travel from previous status (see key below)		direction of travel from previous status		Risk Owner	Improvement Target Status	Improvement Target Date	Risk owner recommendation
	Current status	s high (=> 6)								
9		Single Change Programme [formerly Bristol Change Programme]	6	\leftrightarrow	Angie Ridgwell	4	Q1 2013/14 Q2 2013/14 Q4 2013/14	The new single change programme approach gives much stronger leadership and governance to all change, which will reduce risk - although the pace of change is high and the impact of not delivering is also high. As the programme is firmed up over the coming months, specific key risk will be identified. However, at the current time the overall programme risk is assessed as high. Arrangements for two mitigations (Programme Risk Register and Benefits Realisation) are being revised; the status of these mitigations will be updated at the next review point.		
6		Business Change directorate programme of change fails to deliver objectives	6	\leftrightarrow	Angie Ridgwell	2	Q3 2013/14	Target is to deliver a fit-for-purpose service that is able to drive the organisatonal change programme by 31 December 2013.		
	Current status	s medium (=> 3)								
9	CRR27	Information security	4	\leftrightarrow	Bernadette Keen	3	Q1 2014/15	Mitigations refined and focused, but slippage in relation to the secure email pilot. Current risk status and target risk status/date remain unchanged.		
4	CRR5	Recruitment, retention and restructuring	4	\leftrightarrow	Richard Billingham	2	Q3 2013/14	Retain as live corporate risk. Given unprecedented level of change which the organisation is experiencing, need to maintain attention on recruitment, retention and restructuring to avoid adverse impact on service delivery while delivering the MTFS and the change required.		
6	CRR11	Financial management	4	Ψ	Mark Taylor	2	Q3 2013/14	Retain as live corporate risk. Mitigations reviewed and reinforced with reference to corporate panels, and measures to address fraud risk. Full controls and plans in place. Overall risk level reduced to amber, and target risk revised to green.		
9	CRR44	Welfare Reform (WR)	4	¥	Angie Ridgwell	3	Q3 2013/14	Overall risk level has reduced with the successful implementation of the benefit cap and under occupancy. We are carefully monitoring discretionary housing payments, as their success in helping people short term may be delaying debt problems. Administration of the social fund (giving crisis payments) has moved to Housing Benefits, to be administered alongside DHPs so we can see who is applying /receiving discretionary funds informing policy and delivery. The recommendation to pass on the CTR cut to low income households is in line with other authorities but this will exacerbate debt problems for some. A hardship fund has been created, it is not clear what our role will be in the roll out of Universal Credit or when this will be, but scenario planning has started. Cumulative impact on residents and the city is difficult to quantify and assess.		
9	OD11	Council Tax Reduction Scheme	4	N/A	Julia James			New risk - target status and achievement date to be confirmed.		
	Current status	s low (=>1)								
	There are curre	ntly no risks in this category								

DELETED RISKS

6	CRR12	Health and Safety	2	\leftrightarrow	Angie Ridgwell	Fully mitigated and removed as a discrete risk, but to be kept under review.	
6	CRR14	Employee relations	1	→	Angie Ridgwell	Remaining claims settled and within budget. Risk completed and removed from risk register.	
9	OD6	Housing Benefits	1	\leftrightarrow	Julia James	Retained at request of Audit Committee, January 2013. Subsequently removed as discrete risk but oversight retained through ongoing Welfare Reform risk on recommendation of Councillor Gollop (review of risk register, 30 September 2013).	
9	TR16	Failure to implement, and derive benefits from, purchase-to-pay system (COPS)	4	\leftrightarrow	Russ Darling	Purchase to pay is now integrated within the new ABW finance system.	
6	CRR38	Performance Management	3	\leftrightarrow	Peter Robinson	This risk was introduced in response to issues around VFM, which work is now being pursued in other ways eg via Business Partners. Performance management is a cross-council responsibility of managers.	
6	CS5	Loss of Council funds from borrowing/lending activity	2	\leftrightarrow	Peter Robinson	Risk was introduced because of issues around the collapse of the Icelandic bank. The investment has now been recovered.	
9	CRR8	Value for Money (VfM)	6	\leftrightarrow	Will Godfrey	VfM is an embedded underpinning strand of business activity not standalone risk. Risk archived.	

Key:

 \leftrightarrow

No movement in current risk compared with previous quarter

Increase in level of risk compared with previous quarter

Decrease in level of risk compared with previous quarter